



# GROUNDING THEORY REVIEW

## An international journal

---

Book Review: Remodeling GT once again

*Alvita Nathaniel, West Virginia University*

June 2014

*Grounded Theory Review*, Vol 13 (Issue #1), 65-69

The online version of this article can be found at:

<https://groundedtheoryreview.org>

---

Originally published by Sociology Press

<https://sociologypress.com/>

Archived by the Institute for Research and Theory Methodologies

<https://www.mentoringresearchers.org/>

## Book Review: Remodeling GT once again

Alvita Nathaniel, West Virginia University

Barry Gibson and Jan Hartman (2014) *Rediscovering Grounded Theory*  
London: Sage

In their book entitled *Rediscovering Grounded Theory*, Barry Gibson and Jan Hartman (2014) aim to present grounded theory in a new way with the intention of “forward looking preservation” (p. 237). They claim that *Rediscovery* is an outcome of many conversations in a London pub over the last eight years. The authors tackle both method and methodology as they meticulously describe the context of *The Discovery of Grounded Theory* (Glaser & Strauss, 1967) and take an authoritative stand on many of the controversies surrounding remodeling of the method in recent years. *Rediscovering* also includes substantial how-to sections corresponding to chapters in Glaser’s *Theoretical Sensitivity* (1978). *Rediscovery* adds explanatory depth in its discussion of the context of grounded theory, but fails to keep many of its promises.

*Rediscovery* is far reaching. Included in the first part are chapters entitled, *What Kind of Theory is Grounded Theory*, *Constructivism in Grounded Theory*, *Disentangling Concepts and Categories in Grounded Theory*, and *Coding in Grounded Theory*. These chapters describe the context of the method, discuss the controversies, and present Gibson and Hartman’s positions on contentious issues. The second part of the book consists of chapters that aim to help grounded theorists with procedures such as developing theoretical sensitivity, theoretical sampling, coding, memoing, and writing theory. To their credit, the authors continually acknowledge the originators of the method.

From the outset, Gibson and Hartman give credit to Glaser and Strauss. *Reinventing* acknowledges that grounded theory opened exciting opportunities for a new generation of researchers and perhaps even opened doors into new areas of inquiry (p. 29). As a new method, grounded theory’s emphasis was on inductively generating theory from data, rather than deductively verifying hypotheses. I agree with Gibson and Hartman that knowledge of the origin of a method and its terminology is imperative to rigorous research. *Rediscovering* acknowledges that Glaser and Strauss “discovered” grounded theory. *Rediscovery* also places classic grounded theory firmly within the zeitgeist of discipline of sociology at the time and describes its roots in the Departments of Sociology at Columbia University and the University of Chicago.

As Gibson and Hartman meticulously describe the history of the method, they also discuss changes from the original (classic) method that were developed by others in subsequent years. This “evolution” of grounded theory has been embroiled in controversy over what Glaser describes as “remodeling” of the method. Remodeling was begun by Strauss and Corbin and later by Charmaz and many others. *Rediscovering* clearly focuses on the original method as described in *Discovery*. Yet in an effort reminiscent of Rodney

King's famous plea, "can't we all just get along," Gibson and Hartman suggest that newer versions of the method, particularly Chamaz's constructivist version, depict a positive evolution. They go so far as to encourage alternative versions of grounded theory, stating that "methodological pluralism in grounded theory is something that should be welcomed" (p. 237). Paradoxically, the authors mention a more recent move toward the blending of grounded theory with other traditions, acknowledging that there is a risk that too many modifications will threaten to make the method "incoherent and contradictory" (p. 98).

Gibson and Hartman move beyond the discussion of the professors and universities that inspired Glaser and Strauss to delve into the modern etymology of the terms used in grounded theory. They closely examine common terms in grounded theory such as *concept*, *category*, and *indicator*. *Rediscovery* looks to Strauss and Corbin and others for distinctions between concept and category, delineating concepts as the basic building blocks of theory and categories as concepts grouped together—recognizing that categories are also "conceptual." In the end, they offer three distinctly different definitions of concepts and categories and a limited definition of term *core category*. They also examine various definitions of indicators, including distinctions between those that are expressive and those that are predictive.

Part two of *Rediscovery* is a how-to guide for novice grounded theorists. In the ten chapters (142 pages) of this section, Gibson and Hartman recount and expand upon classic grounded theory procedures as described in *Discovery* and *Theoretical Sensitivity*. In this section that is essentially a chapter-by-chapter expansion of *Theoretical Sensitivity*, Gibson and Hartman describe their own interpretation of ways to develop theoretical sensitivity and to theoretically sample, code, memo, and write grounded theory. To illustrate salient points, the authors chose eight exemplars of what "good grounded theory should look like" (p. 109).

Clearly, Gibson and Hartman have done the hard work of meticulously investigating grounded theory. They provide a comprehensive examination of classic grounded theory and present controversies that have emerged in the last forty years. The book will be useful to experienced grounded theorists who wish to gain a better understanding of the origins of method. It will also be useful to those who are interested in examining the controversies that have arisen over remodeled versions of grounded theory. However, the book may not be helpful to novice grounded theorists and PhD students.

As they carefully examine myriad opinions and contradictory definitions and methods, Gibson and Hartman have created ambiguity, which may confuse and overwhelm those seeking to learn the method, especially if there are no mentors available. In fact, many of the terms and procedures described in *Rediscovery* are contradictory to those found in classic grounded theory as described by Glaser and Strauss and later by Glaser. Following are two examples: First, Gibson and Hartman state that there are three major phases of grounded theory, one of which is selective coding (p. 163); whereas, Glaser, in *Theoretical Sensitivity* describes theoretical sampling as distinctively different from selective sampling (which is not used in classic grounded theory). Second, Gibson and Hartman give a common definition of core category, but fail to explicate the most important feature of the core category as described by Glaser—that it demonstrates how participants continually

solve their main concern.

I enjoyed reading *Rediscovery* because I am an experienced grounded theorist, interested in reading about all aspects of grounded theory. However, I find the book to be unnecessarily dense. It is not an easy read. Take for instance the section on theoretical coding. Gibson and Hartman devote nearly two pages to the topic without offering a clear definition. In contrast, Glaser is very clear that theoretical codes “conceptualize how the substantive codes may relate to each other as hypotheses to be integrated into a theory” (Glaser, 1978, p. 72). Glaser’s definition is clear, whereas Gibson and Hartman’s discussion obfuscates. Gibson and Hartman propose that, “The real test of our approach of clarification... is to make doing grounded theory clearer...” (p. 98). They fail their own test of clarification.

Although the authors are careful to continually refer to the work of Glaser and Strauss, *Rediscovery* mixes the method as described by many, gives contradictory definitions, and veers from the tenets of classic grounded theory. Gibson and Hartman accept the ideas of some and reject others, including Glaser and Strauss at times. They make pronouncements and act as arbiters of the method, essentially proposing yet another version of grounded theory. This ambiguity could serve to confuse and mislead a novice grounded theorist, who might assume the book correctly describes the classic method.

In conclusion, *Rediscovering Grounded Theory* is a scholarly compilation of ideas surrounding grounded theory. Gibson and Hartman carefully researched the origins of the method and the controversies surrounding recent remodeling. However, they present dense material that combines disparate ideas in a way that lacks cohesiveness and parsimony and contributes yet another version of the method. *Rediscovery* actually remodels once again. I recommend the book to those who are interested in an in-depth examination of the origins of the method. I do not recommend the book to inexperienced grounded theorists wishing to learn the method. Novices would be better served to read the original books by Glaser and Strauss and Glaser.

### References

- Gibson, B., & Hartman, J. (2014). *Rediscovering grounded theory*. London: Sage.
- Glaser, B. G. (1978). *Theoretical sensitivity: Advances in the methodology of grounded theory*. Mill Valley, CA: Sociology Press.
- Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research*. Chicago, IL: Aldine Publishing.

**Ben Binsardi** is a reader in the Business and Management department at Glyndwr University. He completed his undergraduate and postgraduate studies at Texas Tech and Wichita State Universities. He then obtained a PhD from Loughborough, studying econometrics and undertook a Research Fellowship at the University of Oxford. Ben has published several textbooks and research journals in the areas of research methodology, marketing and finance. He is chairing a track at the Academy of Marketing Conference in July 2014. Ben teaches research methodology in conjunction with Jan Green. As a member of Grounded Theory Institute, Ben has been working with Jan Green and professor Andy Lowe to host a number of classic GT workshops at Glyndwr University which have attracted a pan-European attendance.

Email: [A.binsardi@glyndwr.ac.uk](mailto:A.binsardi@glyndwr.ac.uk)

**Berit Støre Brinchmann**, PhD, is a professor in nursing at University of Nordland and University of Stavanger in Norway. She is also a member of the clinical ethics committee at Nordland Regional Hospital in Bodø, Norway. Her research interests include health care ethics, medical ethics, research on next of kin and qualitative research methodology. Her PhD, from University of Oslo, Norway was a grounded theory study on proximity ethics in neonatal care.

Email: [berit.store.brinchmann@uin.no](mailto:berit.store.brinchmann@uin.no)

**Naomi Elliott** was awarded her PhD degree from Queen's University Belfast and holds professional awards of Registered General Nurse and Registered Nurse Tutor from the Nursing and Midwifery Board of Ireland. Her integrated clinical and research expertise draws from her experience at the different levels of healthcare: from policy-making whilst working in the Irish Nursing and Midwifery Board, and with the Department of Health and Children, to the design of professional education programmes, to clinical practice in Ireland, Scotland and New Zealand, as well as a diverse range of research methods across numerous healthcare related projects. She first met Dr. Glaser in 2002 during her PhD studies and now has several publications and research presentations on grounded theory. Naomi's current research interest is the development of new models of care delivery and quality in healthcare for major conditions such as epilepsy. Email: [naomi.elliott@tcd.ie](mailto:naomi.elliott@tcd.ie)

**Barney G. Glaser** is the cofounder of grounded theory (1967). He received his PhD from Columbia University in 1961. He then went to University of California San Francisco, where he joined Anselm Strauss in doing the dying in hospitals study and in teaching PhD and DNS students methods and analysis. He published over 20 articles on this research and the dying research. Since then, Glaser has written close to 20 more books using and about grounded theory and countless articles. In 1998 he received an honorary doctorate from Stockholm University.

Email: [bglaser@speakeasy.net](mailto:bglaser@speakeasy.net)

**Jan Green:** During a successful corporate career involved with mergers and acquisitions, Jan Green acquired extensive change management experience in the capacity as a practitioner and developed a deep insight into the diverse issues arising during change processes which were of value in her Masters study. A career move to academia resulted in Jan undertaking a classic grounded theory study into accomplished business performance. To support her thesis Jan attended two grounded theory troubleshooting seminars and she is a member of the grounded theory institute. More recently Jan has written and presented papers utilising classic grounded theory which conceptualise

concerns related to business competitiveness, the projectification of the workplace and manoeuvres which successfully support the impact of sudden change. She is the co-author, with Ben Binsardi, of *Research Methods for Management*, published in 2012. Email: [jan.green@glyndwr.ac.uk](mailto:jan.green@glyndwr.ac.uk)

**Alvita Nathaniel** is a nurse, educator, and ethicist. She is a Professor at West Virginia University School of Nursing where she has the position of Interim Associate Dean of the Graduate Practice Programs. In 1998 Alvita co-authored the nursing text book, *Ethics & issue in Contemporary Nursing*. This book is currently in its fourth edition and continues to be popular in the US and internationally. Writing the ethics textbook led to her grounded theory research on moral reckoning, which she continues to pursue along with additional publications focusing on nursing ethics.

**Pernilla Pergert** is an assistant professor at the Department of Women's and Children's Health, Karolinska Institutet and a pediatric nurse specialist at the Childhood Cancer Care Unit, Astrid Lindgren Children's Hospital, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden. She got her PhD in Pediatric Science from Karolinska Institutet in 2008 using GT and she continues to teach, supervise, and use classic GT. She is the research group leader of the Childhood Cancer Health Care performing research in the two very closely related areas of intercultural nursing and clinical ethics in childhood cancer care. She is also a lecturer and course leader of a national education in Pediatric Oncology for Nurses and teaches in ethics and transcultural care. E-mail: [pernilla.pergert@ki.se](mailto:pernilla.pergert@ki.se)

**Henrik Sollie** is a psychologist working at the Child and Adolescent Mental Health Clinic at Kristiansund Hospital, Norway. He has also been working with projects regarding consumer satisfaction and cooperation between the special mental health services and the community services. His research interests are assessment, family dynamics, and comorbid problems related to child ADHD, and he is also working on a PhD degree at the Regional Centre for Child and Youth Mental Health and Child Welfare, in Central Norway. Email: [hesollie@online.no](mailto:hesollie@online.no)

**Isabelle Walsh** is full professor at Neoma Business School, France. She defended both her PhD and HDR (Habilitation à Diriger des Recherches) in Paris-Dauphine University, France. She teaches and does research in the fields of strategy, information systems and change management. She regularly organizes grounded theory workshops in France and the US. She has published several grounded theory studies in different top tier research journals, using both qualitative and mixed data: one of her published GT studies has won this year a best paper award. Email: [isabelle.walsh@neoma-bs.fr](mailto:isabelle.walsh@neoma-bs.fr)

**Barbara Yalof** holds a doctorate in educational technology and e-learning. She is a certified art teacher who has taught and co-led courses and workshops for teachers infusing technology in hybrid and online courses. She has produced digital graphics and illustrations, and enjoys investigating digital software including Web tools and apps related to teaching. She is a Blackboard Exemplary Course Reviewer and peer reviewer for several journals. Dr. Yalof is adjunct faculty in American College of Education's Online Master's Degree program in Digital Learning and Teaching. She works with teachers of various levels of experience to develop technology-rich curriculums that increase student engagement and shift more responsibility to the student. Dr. Yalof is also Academic Administrative and Technology Support Specialist at Harcum College. Email: [draw.art@verizon.net](mailto:draw.art@verizon.net)